

NOTE: THIS DOCUMENT WILL BE TREATED AS CONFIDENTIAL AND WILL ONLY BE USED FOR EVALUATIO PURPOSES.

FOR FUTHER ENQUIRIES:

ASSESSMENT OF QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE : CRITERIA 2 (FUNCTIONALITY CRITERIA)

BIDDER TO BE EVALUATED:						
PROJECT DESCRIPTION: DRAFTING OF ENVIRONMENTAL IMPLEMENTATION PLANS AND ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT PLANS FOR EACH OF THE 13 PROCLAIMED FISHING HARBOURS IN THE WESTERN CAPE AND GENERAL WASTE STRATEGIES						
THE PURPOSE OF THIS DOCUMENT IS TO PROVIDE FEEDBACK FROM THE EMPLOYER / REFERENCE BASED ON HIS / HER OPINION ON THE EXPERIENCE WORKING WITH THE ABOVE MENTIONED BIDDER ON PREVIOUS OR EXISTING PROJECTS / SERVICES OFFERED (AS LISTED ON THE DPW-09 (EC) FORM) REGARDING QUALITY OF PERFORMANCE ON COMPARABLE PROJECTS DURING THE PAST 5 YEARS						
_						
1.	TIME MANAGEMENT	Very poor	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
2.	PROGRAMMING OF WORKS	Very poor	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
3.	QUALITY OF DETAILED REPORTS / DOCUMENTATION	Very poor	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
4.	COMMUNICATION SKILLS / PROMPTNESS IN PROVIDING FEEDBACK	Very poor	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
5.	COMPLIANCE TO RELEVANT REGULATIONS	Very poor	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
6.	PROGRAMMING OF WORKS	Very poor	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
7.	PERSONNEL RESOURCES	Very poor	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
8.	TECHNICAL SKILLS, GUIDANCE, ADVICE	Very poor	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
9.	DECISION MAKING AND PROBLEM SOLVING SKILLS	Very poor	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
10.	SCOPE MANAGEMENT / CONFORMING TO SPECIFICATIONS	Very poor	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
11.	LEADERSHIP AND ACCOUNTABILITY	Very poor	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
12.	RISK IDENTIFICATION AND MITIGATION	Very poor	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
FURTHER COMMENTS:				·		
COMMITTION.						
REFERENCE DETAILS: COMPANY: NAME: CONTACT NO.:						
POSITION:	SIGNATURE:					